

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	A	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/512,962	02/25/00	TERWILLIGER	T	S-91,732
•		HM12/0821	E	KAMINER
Ray G Wilson LC BPL MS D412 Los Alamos National Laboratory			 ARTTER RT UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P O Box 166: Los Alamos (3	Juracory	631 Walled:	5
				08/21/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	Office Action Summary	09/512,962	TERWILLIGER, THOMAS C
	omee ite don our many	Examiner	Art Unit
	The MAILING DATE of this arms	Amy Hartter	1631
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address
- Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the property will, by statute the property of the pro	36(a). In no event, however, may a re y within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely.
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 F	- hrun - 0000	
2a) <u></u>			
3)	>/E3 1111	is action is non-final.	
	Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under to the condition of the condition of the condition is in condition for allowa	Fix parte Quavle, 1935 C.D.	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
Disposition	on of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , ,	. 11, 400 0.0, 213.
4) 🖾	Claim(s) $1-9$ is/are pending in the application.		
4	a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are withdrawn f	rom consideration	
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ (Claim(s) <u>6-9</u> is/are rejected.		
7) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)🛛 (Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> are subject to restriction and/or ele	Ction requirement	
Applicatio	n Papers	and the same of th	
9)∐ TI	he specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)⊠ TI	ne drawing(s) filed on <u>25 February 2000</u> is/are:	a) Accepted or b) Cobined	And to hooth or
	" Periodit may not request that any objection to the	drowing/a) h = t = t t t	
11) 🗌 Th	ne proposed drawing correction filed oni	s: a) approved b) disa	annroyed by the Fire :
	a approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action	ipproved by the Examiner.
12)[Ih	e oath or declaration is objected to by the Exan	niner.	
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. & 1	19(2) (d) 25 (5)
a)[_	All b) Some * c) None of:	,	19(a)-(u) 01 (1).
1.	Certified copies of the priority documents h	ave been received	
2.	Certified copies of the priority documents h	ave been received in Appli	ication No
3.	Copies of the certified copies of the priority	documente have have	eived in this National Stans
* See	application from the International Burea the attached detailed Office action for a list of t	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oived in this National Stage
14) 🗌 Ackı	nowledgment is made of a claim for domestic pr	riority under 35 H.S.C. 8.14	10(a) (to a massister of a second
/ ∟	The translation of the foreign language provision nowledgment is made of a claim for domestic p	ional application to a l	
Notice of	References Cited (PTO-892)	Δ	
Notice of Information	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4)	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)
atent and Tradem: -326 (Rev. 04	ark Office I-01) Office Action		

Application/Control Number:	Page 2
09/512,962	
Art Unit: 1631	

Answer to Traversal

The Applicant claims that the inventions represented in Groups I and II are not separate and distinct and that Group I is merely a species of Group II. The Applicant is reminded that even though and if the Groups are solely different embodiments of the other species can and will be separate and distinct inventions. However in addition to this argument the examiner does not see where the Applicant claims in the first group the comparison of the structure factors to the observed factors as is claimed in Group II. Group I appears to be concerned only with the derivation of probability factors and not the analysis those factors and deriving a conclusion from them. Therefore this traversal is not considered persuasive and this restriction is made final.

Detailed Action

Claims 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is non-statutory subject matter.

The instant invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter because it claims a mathematical formula which is not one of the statutory types of patentable inventions. The formula does not manifest itself in material form, but rather only in mathematical/data form.

Claims 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable

Application/Control Number: Page 3
09/512,962
Art Unit: 1631

one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The basis of this rejection is that the Applicant wishes to claim crystals that they desire to make and not those that have been prepared in reality. For example as is stated in Jan Drenth's book "Principles of X-ray Crystallography" (Springer-Verlag New York Inc., 1994, please see Chapter one, page 1, section 1.2), "Protein crystallization is mainly a trial-and-error procedure ..." Indeed the making of crystals is unpredictable and unless they are made in actuality it is very difficult to know what will result. Thus Claims 6-9 are not enabled in this arena for this reason.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office Action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Xiang et al. (Acta Crystal D –Biological Crystallography, (01 Jan 1993) vol D49 part one, pages 193-212).

Claim 6 and its subsequent dependents 7 and 8 claim a set of structure factors (Fh) and a set of observed structure factors known as (Fobs). The claims describe that the structure factors would be compared to the observed factors for greater accuracy in an electron density map.

'Application/Control Number:	 Page 4
09/512,962	Ū
Art Unit: 1631	

The following is a quotation form Xiang et al. (please see page 195 second paragraph).

We can divide the X-ray data into two parts –a basis set of phased reflections (H) and the complementary set (K), for which the phases are unknown. Given any basis set, (H) choosing an appropriate probability distribution for the atomic positions is equivalent to choosing amplitudes and phases for the reflections (K), outside the basis set to modulate the defects in the simple Fourier transform of (H). The resulting conditional probability distribution for the phases in (k) are obtained by a weighting procedure that compares the estimated amplitudes with their corresponding observed values. This amounts to extrapolating phase information from reflections in (H) to those with the unknown phases in (K).

It seems in this situation that the (H) factor established in Xiang et al. corresponds to the (Fh) factor that is established in the instant application. This is also the case with the (K) factor that is established as well in Xiang et al. corresponds to the (Fobs) in the instant application. The two Xiang et al. publication and the instant Application both contain factors that are being compared to result in greater accuracy in an electron density map. The two entitles are striving towards the same goal and appearing to use the same factors to accomplish said goal. In addition the heading on said page 195, first paragraph, clearly indicates the maximizing of part (b) of the instant claim 6. Therefore these claims are rejected on this basis.

The Applicant is also hereby notified that there is an objection to this Application as follows. The Applicant is notified that it is improper to place periods after sub portions of a claim such as the "a and b" portions of Claim 6. The Applicant must amend this portion of the claim by replacing said periods with, for example, parentheses.

"Application/Control Number: 09/512,962	Page 5
Art Unit: 1631	

The Applicant is also hereby advised that the drawings, that were filed 2/25/00, have been accepted on the 326 form, item number 10.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from this examiner should be directed to Amy Hartter whose telephone number is (703) 305-1696. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 8:00 to 4:30 p.m. (Eastern Time)

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward can be reached at (703) 305-4028. The fax phone numbers for the group are (703) 308-4242 and (703) 305-3014.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Patent Analyst, Kim Davis, whose telephone number is (703) 305-3015 or to the Technical Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Hartter

(703) 305-1696

ARDIN H. MARSCHEL